

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,685	02/0	05/2004	Gregory L. Puckett	005803.00954 5141	
32128	7590	10/11/2005		EXAMINER	
GABLE & G		3		HANNON, 1	THOMAS R
10TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TULSA, OK	74103			3682	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H.			
η Ο	Application No.	No. Applicant(s)	
	10/772,685	PUCKETT, GREGORY L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas R. Hannon	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Period for Reply	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE AMONTH!	C) OR THIRTY (20) DAVE	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,8,10-17 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-7,9,18 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 05 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. e: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	-10
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · ·	,	a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview Summer	(PTO 413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 130D. In the specification this is referred to as130C. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 8, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 119-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komplin (4,790,672).

Komplin discloses a bearing for rotatably supporting a cylindrical shaft (24) within a frame internal cylindrical support surface (14) in which the shaft has an external diameter. The shaft is inherently subject to thermal expansion. The bearing comprising a tubular member (12) having opposed ends spaced apart by a distance and having an axial passageway therethrough,

the outer surface of the member having an external rib (18) adjacent each end, each rib having an external diameter the same as the internal diameter of the support surface, after mounting. The tubular member is positionable in the frame internal cylindrical support surface, the axial passageway being defined by a central bearing surface (20) the same as the external diameter of the shaft, and rotatably receiving the shaft, the bearing surface having a n axial length less than the spacing between the ribs, the bearing being deformable within elastic limits which necessarily permits the internal diameter of he bearing surface to expand to accept thermal expansion of the shaft. With respect to claims 3 and 16, note Figure 3. With respect to claims 19-21, the interrupted flanges (column 2, lines 26-27) correspond to the ribs having at leas one shallow depth slit or supplemental slot.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 10, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komplin as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of Renard.

Renard discloses a bearing assembly in which the tubular member includes a longitudinal slit, as at least one full depth slot of a length less than the width of the tubular member. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tubular member of Komplin to include at least one longitudinal slot or slit for the desired purpose of increased flexibility favoring assembly and functioning, as taught and suggested by Renard.

Claims 12 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komplin as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Wood (Germany 57,1521).

Wood discloses a bearing assembly in which a tubular member is provided with a lubrication hole extending from an external surface to a bearing surface. It would have been obvious tone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Komplin such that the tubular member is provided with a lubrication hole because the use of lubricant in the bearing art is notoriously old and well known, with lubrication holes being a known manner of providing lubricant to a bearing surface, as shown by Wood.

Claims 4-7, 9, 18, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3682

trh